

Pergamon

oo4o4O39(94)01504-x

Predictive Models for the Overall 5-endo-trig **Iodocyclisation of Homoallylic Alcohols**

Jenny M. Barks and David W. Knight* Department of Cbemiatry, University Park, Nottingham, NG7 2RD, UK. Christopher J. Seaman and Gordon G. Weingarten Glaxo Research and Development Ltd., Greenford Road, Greenford, Middlesex, UB6 0HE, UK

Abstract:- *lodoetherifications of all isomers of the 3-methylaik-4-en-2-01s [14-171 proceed wirh excellent levels of stereoselecrivity,* **leading to** *tetrasubstituted tetrahydrofurans [18~22]*, via *overall anti-addition to the alkene function.*

The intramolecular iodoetherification of 4-penten-1-ol functions, an overall 5-exo-trig process, constitutes an efficient, generally applicable and often highly stereoselective approach to tetrahydrofurans which is **applicable to a wide range of substitution patterns.1 We have recently qorted that related iodoetherifications of** the homoallylic alcohols $[1 \text{ and } 3; \mathbb{R}^1, \mathbb{R}^2 = \text{alkyl}, \text{silyloxyalkyl}, \text{formally } via \text{ a } 5\text{-}endo\text{-}trig pathway, can also$ be very effective in the stereocontrolled elaboration of 2,5-trans-(2) or 2,5-cis-(4)-tetrahydrofurans;² a crucial feature of these cyclisations is the use of anhydrous acetonitrile as solvent.

There have been only a limited number of reports of this type of cyclisation until recently. The first appears to have been an isolated example reported briefly by Bartlett and Myerson;³ given a choice, 5-exo cyclisations **always take preference4 and even 4-exe ring closures can compete successfully.5 Similar results to ours, but using mainly homoallylic alcohols having heteroaryl substituents at the distal end as substrates, have also been** reported by a Korean group.⁶ In seeking ways to extend the utility of this type of cyclisation, we have recently **shown that such cyclisations can also be applied to the stereoselective elaboration of annulated tetrahydrofurans** [eg. 6] by cyclisations of 2-alkenylcycloalkan-1-ols [eg. 5].⁷

All of these results involve the overall *anti* **addition of iodine and the hydroxyl group across the double bond of the homoallylic alcohol. We were thenzfore attracted by the possibility of using this type of cyclisation to prepare a variety of tetrasubstituted tetrahydrofurans in a highly stereocontrolled manner by starting with more** **highly substituted homoallylic alcohols. However. we were somewhat uncertain of the outcome of such cyclisations snd the applicability of our predictive models* of the likely transition state. in view of some** exceptional examples of both seleno- and iodo-etherifications reported by Lipshutz and Barton.⁸ For example, these authors reported that iodocyclisation of the (E)-syn-homoally lie alcohol 7 led exclusively to the all-cistetrahydrofuran 8, apparently as a result of the overall *cis* addition of iodine and the hydroxyl group across the double bond. This type of cyclisation was reported to take place using iodine in acetonitrile with or without added silver trifluoroacetate. Subsequently, a single and non-stereoselective example of a closely related iodoetherification was reported using I₂-AgO₂CCF₃-MeCN, the stereochemical outcome of which cast doubt on the Lipshutz results⁸ as the two THF products, obtained as a 60:40 mixture, were apparently formed by a more conventional *anti* addition across the double bond.⁹ Herein, we report our studies of this type of cyclisation which we have found can be extremely stereoselective and which proceeds only by *anti* addition to the double bond, a process for which we propose transition state geometries which should be of predictive value.

The substrates for our studies were prepared from cis- or trans-2,3-epoxybutane [10 and 11] by condensation with 1-lithiohexyne 9-BF₃.OEt₂.¹⁰ The resulting alkynols [12 and 13; ~85%]¹¹ were then reduced either under Lindlar conditions to give the *anti*-(Z) 14 and syn-(Z) 16 alkenols $[-95\%]^{11}$ or using LiAlH₄ (THF-toluene; reflux), leading to the corresponding anti-(E) 15 and syn-(E) 17 alkenols [90~95%]¹¹.

We were pleased to find that exposure of the *anti*-(Z)-alkenol 14 to three equivalent of iodine in anhydrous acetonitrile containing sodium hydrogencarbonate (3 eq.) at 0°C for 0.75h led to a single iodotetrahydrofuran 18 in 87% yield.¹¹ The gross structure was deduced mainly from ${}^{1}H$ and ${}^{13}C$ NMR spectral data. The CHI function was identified both by its relatively simple coupling pattern $(\delta_H 4.03; 1H,$ app. t, $J = 8.8$ Hz) and by a ¹H-¹³C correlation spectrum, relying on the unique upfield shift of the methine attached to iodine (δ_c 39.4 ppm).

A COSY spectrum then allowed all of the remaining resonances to be assigned. The stereochemistry was established primarily on the basis of NOE measurements, the results of which (in %) are shown in the structural diagram. Equally significant were the absent enhancements which also correlated well with this assignment.

Similar treatment of the *anti*-(E)-isomer 15 also gave, after 3h at 0°C, a single iodotetrahydrofuran 19 in 81% yield, $11,12$ the identity of which was established exactly as in the foregoing example.

Cyclisations of the corresponding syn diastereoisomers [16 and 17] using the I₂-NaHCO₃-MeCN method proved to be equally facile and efficient but slightly less stereoselective. Conversion of the syn-(Z)-alkenol 16 **was complete after -4h at O*C and gave the iodo-THF 20. contaminated with some 5% of the THF 21, which** probably arose by in situ isomerization of the (Z) -alkene, in a combined yield of $>95\%$.^{11,12} The corresponding syn-(E)-alkenol 17 however gave a mixture of two, separable iodoTHFs in a ratio of 9:1 [0°C, 1h], which were assigned the structures shown [21 and 22], again from NMR data, as outlined above.^{11,12}

The ¹H and ¹³C NMR data (CDCl₃), the latter in parentheses, presented with the structures [18-22] shows **an encouraging level of internal consistency. For example, a 2.3~rrans relationship between the butyl chain and** the iodine atom [19, 21] results in 2-CH(O) resonances at $\delta_H \sim 4.1$ and $\delta_C \sim 86$ ppm, CHI resonances at $\delta_H \sim 3.4$ and $\delta_{\rm C}$ ~34 ppm and the side-chain CH₂ group at $\delta_{\rm C}$ ~32 ppm, clearly distinguishable from the corresponding **resonances for the** *2,3-cis* **isomers 18 and 29. Similar relationships apply to the remainder of the data.**

We also briefly examined the use of N-iodosuccinimide [NIS] as an alternative source of iodine for these **cyclisations. In general, using dichloromethane as solvent at 20°C, the reactions were much slower (up to 24h** for completion) than with I₂-NaHCO₃-MeCN; even so, cyclisations of the (Z)- and (E)-anti-alkenols [14 and **15] gave the same products (18 and 19 respectively]** in **similar yields and stereospecificities. Unexpectedly,** reaction between NIS and the syn-(Z)-alkenol 16 led to a 3:1 mixture of the expected iodoTHF 20 and the **iodo-oxetane 23 in a combined yield of 50%. This is the first time that we have observed an oxetane in such cyclisations; the stereochemistry has been tentatively assigned from coupling constant and NOE data. By** contrast, reaction between the syn-(E)-alkenol 17 and NIS gave the same mixture of iodoTHFs [21 and 22] as did iodine, but with reduced selectivity [6:1].

The excellent level of stereoselection in the cyclisations of the anti-alkenols 14 and 15 are consistent with the involvement of the transition state geometries 24 and 25 respectively, in which both substituent methyl groups am positioned pseudoequatorially. Cyclisations of the related syn-isomers 16 and 17 can be rationalized on the basis of the geometries 26 and 27 wherein there is a distinct preference for the substituent adjacent to the hydroxyl group to be positioned pseudoaxially with the remaining B-Me group in a pseudoequatorial position, resulting in a fiial positioning of the iodine *tram* **to the two substituent methyls. These models should have predictive value in the design of syntheses using this cyclisation method. Finally, we suggest that the results** $[eg. 7 \rightarrow 8]$ reported by Lipshutz and Barton are incorrect, $8,9,13$ as all of the foregoing cyclisations appear to

proceed by anti addition to the alkene function.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Dr P. Kocovsky (Leicester University) for helpful comments and to Glaxo Research and Development Ltd and the SERC for financial support (CASE Award).

References

- For reviews, see Boivin, T. L. B. Tetrahedron, 1987, 43, 3309; Harmange, J-C.; Figadere, B. $1.$ Tetrahedron:Asymmetry, 1993, 4, 1711.
- $2.$ Bennett, F.; Bedford, S. B.; Bell, K. E.; Fenton, G.; Knight, D. W.; Shaw, D. Tetrahedron Lett., 1992, 33, 6507; Bedford, S. B.; Bell, K. E.; Fenton, G.; Hayes, C. J.; Knight, D. W.; Shaw, D. Tetrahedron Lett., 1992, 33, 6511.
- 3. Bartlett, P. A.; Myerson, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1978, 100, 3950.
- See, for example, Williams, D. R.; Grote, J.; Harigaya, Y. Tetrahedron Lett., 1984, 25, 5231; Williams, $4.$ D. R.; White, F. H. Tetrahedron Lett., 1986, 27, 2195; Reitz, A. B.; Nortey, S. O.; Maryanoff, B. E. Tetrahedron Lett., 1985, 26, 3915; Marek, I.; Lefrançois, J-M.; Normant, J-F. Tetrahedron Lett., 1992, 33, 1747. See also Dehmlow, H.; Mulzer, J.; Seilz, C.; Strecker, A. R.; Kohlmann, A. Tetrahedron Lett., 1992, 33, 3607.
- Srebnik, M.; Mechoulam, R. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1984, 1070; Evans, R. D.; Magee, J. 5. W.; Schauble, J. H. Synthesis, 1988, 862. See also Takano, S.; Sekiguchi, Y.; Shimazaki, Y.; Ogasawara, K. Tetrahedron Lett., 1989, 30, 4001.
- Kang, S. H.; Lee, S. B. Tetrahedron Lett., 1993, 34, 1955; Kang, S. H.; Lee, S. B. Tetrahedron Lett., б. 1993, 34, 7579.
- 7. Barks, J. M.; Knight, D. W.; Weingarten, G. G. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1994, 719.
- 8. Lipshutz, B. H.; Barton, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1992, 114, 1084.
- 9. Mihelich, E. D.; Hite, G. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1992, 114, 7318.
- 10. Yamaguchi, M.; Hirao, I. Tetrahedron Lett., 1983, 24, 391.
- 11. Yields refer to isolated products which showed correct spectroscopic and analytical data, with the exception of THF 22, which was not cleanly separated; hence the approximations in some of the NMR data for 22.
- 12. NOE data (%) [numbering system: BuCH(2); CIH(3) etc.]: 19 H(2)-H(4), 3; H(2)-Me(5), 1.5; H(2)- $H(3,5)$, <1; $H(3)-H(5)$, 2.5; $H(3)-Me(4)$, 5; $H(3)-H(4)$, <1; $H(4)-H(5)$, <1; $H(4)-Me(5)$ 4.5; $H(5)-Me(4)$, 7; 20 H(2)-H(3), 8.5; H(2)-H(4), ~0; H(2)-H(5), <1; H(2)-Me(4), 5.5; H(2)-Me(5), 1; H(3)-H(4), 1; H(3)-H(5), ~0; H(3)-Me(4), 4; H(3)-Me(5), 1.5; H(4)-H(5), 6; H(4)-Me(5), ~0; H(5)-Me(4), ~0; 21 $H(2)-H(3)$, <1, $H(2)-H(4)$, 6, $H(2)-H(5)$, 1, $H(2)-Me(4)$, ~0, $H(2)-Me(5)$, ~0, $H(3)-H(4)$, 1, $H(3)-H(5)$, ~0; H(3)-Me(4), 7.5; H(3)-Me(5), 2.5; H(4)-H(5), 7.5; Me(4)-H(2,5), ~0.
- 13. Correspondence with Professor Lipshutz has confirmed that he has reached the same conclusions; we are grateful for his helpful comments on our own work.

(Received in UK 7 June 1994; revised 29 July 1994; accepted 4 August 1994)

7262